



By

DR. WILLIAM J. SEMBLER, PH.D., P.E. UNITED STATES MERCHANT MARINE ACADEMY

JOINT ASME/ISA/IEEE PES-IAS LI SECTIONS TECHNICAL MEETING AND SEMINAR FARMINGDALE STATE COLLEGE OF NY 17 NOVEMBER 2010

PRESENTATION

- 1. INTRODUCTION
- 2. TYPES OF FUEL CELLS
- 3. ADVANTAGES OF A SOLID-OXIDE FUEL CELL
- 4. SINGLE-CELL SOFC PARAMETRIC STUDY
- 5. MULTI-CELL SOFC ANALYSIS
- 6. FUEL CELL USE IN MARINE APPLICATIONS
- 7. SOFC HYBRID SYSTEMS TO GENERATE SHIPBOARD ELECTRICAL POWER
- 8. OVERALL CONCLUSIONS
- 9. QUESTIONS

The opinions expressed herein are those of the author and do not reflect any official position or policy of the United States Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration, or U.S. Government.

INTRODUCTION

WHAT IS A FUEL CELL?

>A DEVICE THAT CONVERTS CHEMICAL ENERGY INTO ELECTRICAL ENERGY

>DISCOVERED BY WILLIAM GROVE IN 1839

>BASIC FUEL CELL CONSISTS OF <u>TWO ELECTRODES</u> SEPARATED BY AN <u>IONIC-CONDUCTING ELECTROLYTE</u>

><u>IONS</u> FORMED AT ONE ELECTRODE ARE CONDUCTED THROUGH THE ELECTROLYTE

>LIBERATED ELECTRONS PASS THROUGH AN EXTERNAL CONDUCTOR CREATING A <u>DIRECT CURRENT</u>

THE POTENTIAL USE OF FUEL CELLS TO GENERATE SHIPBOARD ELECTRICAL POWER FUEL-CELL VOLTAGE



Fuel Cell Voltage vs. Current Density

Thermodynamically reversible (Nernst) voltage generated by a fuel cell

$$\mathsf{E} = \frac{-\Delta \overline{\mathsf{g}}_{\mathsf{f}}}{\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{fuel}}} (\mathsf{F})$$

F = Faraday constant, 9.6485 E4 C/mol of electrons

Maximum current produced by a fuel cell with 100% utilization of a fuel and sufficient oxidizer:

Voltage Losses in a Fuel Cell

> Activation Losses. Energy required to drive electrochemical reactions; reduced as the rates of the electrochemical reactions within a fuel cell increase.

>Fuel Crossover and Internal Currents.

• Internal current conducted through electrolyte.

• Fuel supplied to a fuel cell that diffuses and migrates through the electrolyte.

➢ Ohmic Losses. Resistance to the transport of electrons in the electrodes, interconnectors, and electrical circuit (including contact resistance) and to the conduction of ions through the electrolyte.

Concentration or Mass Transport Loss. Due to a reduction in the concentrations of the reactants and an increase in the concentrations of the products at the electrode-electrolyte interfaces relative to the bulk concentrations.

$$i_{max} = n_{fuel} (F) \dot{N}_{fuel} (1000 \frac{mol}{kmol})$$

WHY THE INTEREST IN FUEL CELLS?

>WHEN SUPPLIED WITH HYDROGEN AND OXYGEN, PRODUCTS ARE ELECTRICITY, WATER AND HEAT – <u>NO POLLUTANTS</u> ARE PRODUCED.

>CAN BE MORE EFFICIENT THAN A TYPICAL DIESEL-ENGINE – EMISSIONS WITH FUELS OTHER THAN HYDROGEN WILL TYPICALLY BE LESS THAN THOSE PRODUCED BY A COMPARABLY SIZED DIESEL ENGINE.

><u>HEAT</u> GENERATED CAN BE UTILIZED IN OTHER SYSTEMS OR COMPONENTS.

TYPES OF FUEL CELLS

<u>Alkaline Fuel Cell (AFC)</u>: One of the earliest types of cells used. Electrodes are separated by a liquid electrolyte consisting of a solution of potassium hydroxide in water. Some electrodes are made from carbon-supported catalysts that are mixed with poly-tetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and rolled onto a nickel mesh. Alternatively, porous Raney nickel and silver have been used for anodes and cathodes, respectively. AFCs were used in the U.S. Apollo Space Program in the late 1960s and 1970s and are currently used in the Space Shuttle

> Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC): Contains two porous carbon electrodes separated by a thin solid polymer electrolyte that is coated on both sides with a platinum-based catalyst. Dupont Nafion® is a commonly used electrolyte material. PEMFCs were used in the U.S. Gemini Space Program in the mid 1960s.

Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cell (PAFC): The electrolyte consists of phosphoric acid that is contained within the pores of a matrix of silicon carbide held together with a small amount of PTFE. Electrodes are typically constructed from porous graphite that is coated with a platinum (Pt) catalyst.

TYPES OF FUEL CELLS (contd.)

Molten Carbonate Fuel Cell (MCFC): The electrolyte consists of a molten mixture of lithium and either potassium or sodium carbonates suspended in a porous chemically inert ceramic lithium-oxide matrix. Commonly used electrode materials include porous sintered nickelchromium/nickel-aluminum alloy for anodes and nickel oxide for cathodes.

Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC): A solid ceramic electrolyte is located between two porous electrodes. Zirconia stabilized with a small percentage of yttria (Y2O3), referred to as YSZ, is a common electrolyte material. A typical SOFC anode is made from a cermet consisting of nickel in a YSZ skeleton, and a common cathode material is strontiumdoped lanthanum manganite (LSM).

FUEL-CELL COMPARISON

TYPE	AFC	PEMFC	PAFC	MCFC	SOFC		
Reforming		External only		Internal an	Internal and External		
Fuels		H ₂		H ₂ 8	H₂& CO		
Oxidizers		0 ₂		O2 & CO2	0 ₂		
Mobile Ion	он	F	i ⁺	CO3 ²⁻	0 ^{2 -}		
Anode Exhaust	Excess Fuel & H ₂ O	Exces	s Fuel	Excess Fuel, H ₂ O (if H ₂ in fuel), & CO ₂	Excess Fuel, H ₂ O (if H ₂ in fuel), & OO ₂ (if OO in fuel)		
Cathode Exhaust ^A	Excess Oxidizer	Excess Oxi	dizer & H ₂ O	Excess Oxidizer			
Typical Operating Temperature	50 to 200 C	30 to 100 C	205 to 220 C	650 C	800 to 1000 C ^B		
	Lower activation loss at cathode than with acid electrolyte	Suitable for portable applications	Water management simplified with 100% acid	250+ KW units commercially available	ts High temperatures y reduce ohmic losses		
			electrolyte	CO can be u) can be used as a fuel		
Advantages	Low cost	solid electrolyte	Low-cost electrolyte	High waste heat for cogeneration	Highest waste heat avaiable		
	electrolyte	Good start-stop capability	High Reliability	Non-precious-meta us	al catalysts may be ed		
	Non-precious-metal catalysts may be used	High power density	density Mature technology material degredati		Planar can have high power densities		
					Solid electrolyte		

FUEL-CELL COMPARISON (CONTD.)

ТҮРЕ	AFC	PEMFC	PAFC	MCFC	SOFC		
	CO ₂ reacts with electrolyte	Requires expensi cata	ve precous-metal alyst	Corrosive molten electrolyte	Least mature type		
	Electrolyte must be periodically replenished to makeup for evaporation $ \begin{array}{c} Electrolyte must be dosely managed to prevent flooding electrodes \end{array} $			Electrolyte must be heated above	Heating required at startup		
		Extended startup time to limit temperature					
		prevent flooding	replenished to	Stresses from	gradients		
Disadvantages		electrodes	makeup for evaporation	freeze-thaw cyde of electrolyte during startup & shutdown	High temperatures can lead to material degradation		
	Water must be removed form anode to prevent	Bipolar plaes separating cells in a stack are costly	Stack cooling required	CO ₂ must often be recycled from anode to cathode	Planar can be limited in size and difficut to seal		
	electrolyte diution	CO can poisen c	atalyst in anode	Materials can be re	elatively expensive		
			Poor tolerance to sulfur				

^A Cathode exhaust also includes nitrogen and other non-O₂ components contained in any air supplied to the cathode. ^B Intermediate-temperature SOFCs with operating temperatures from 873 K to 1073 K are also being developed

ADVANTAGES OF SOLID-OXIDE FUEL CELLS

>SOFCS HAVE THE HIGHEST OPERATING TEMPERATURES – GREATEST AMOUNT OF WASTE HEAT.

>STEAM GENERATED IN ANODE CAN BE UTILIZED FOR INTERNAL FUEL REFORMING.

>SOLID ELECTROLYTE – ELIMINATES NEED TO MONITOR ELECTROLYTE CHEMISTRY, WILL NOT BE AFFECTED BY VESSEL MOTION.

>CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) CAN BE UTILIZED AS A FUEL – WILL NOT POISON THE CELL.

>HIGH SOFC OPERATING TEMPERATURES – REDUCES IONIC RESISTANCE IN ELECTROLYTE AND ELECTRONIC RESISTANCE IN THE ELECTRODES; PRECIOUS-METAL CATALYSTS NOT REQUIRED.

PLANAR SOLID-OXIDE FUEL CELL



Planar Solid-Oxide Fuel Cell Schematic

Electrochemical reactions in an SOFC supplied with hydrogen (H₂) and/or carbon monoxide (CO) as fuel and oxygen (O₂) as an oxidizer:

 $0_2 + 4 e^- \rightarrow 2 0^{2-}$

Cathode:

Anode:

 $2 \ \mathrm{H_2} + 2 \ \mathrm{O^{2-}} \rightarrow 2 \ \mathrm{H_2O} + 4 \ \mathrm{e^-}$

 $2\;\mathrm{CO}+2\;\mathrm{O}^{2-}\rightarrow 2\;\mathrm{CO}_2+4\;\mathrm{e}^-$

Negative oxygen ions from air supplied to the cathode are formed at the cathode-electrolyte interface.

These oxygen ions are conducted through the electrolyte.

> These ions combine with hydrogen molecules (H_2) at the anode-electrolyte interface and form water (H_2O) .

Electrons separated from the oxygen ions are conducted through an external electrical circuit that joins the anode to the cathode creating direct current.

➢ When the electrons return to the cathode, they combine with the incoming oxygen to form new oxygen ions, and the aforementioned process is repeated.

SINGLE-CELL SOFC PARAMETRIC STUDY USING CFD

- > OBJECTIVE
- > THERMAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
- FUEL & AIR TEMPERATURES, FLOW RATES AND PRESSURES
- > FUEL & AIR FLOW ORIENTATION
- > FLOW-CHANNEL DIMENSIONS
- > OPTIMUM CELL CONFIGURATION
- > CONCLUSIONS OF PARAMETRIC STUDY

WHAT IS THE OBJECTIVE OF THIS PARAMETRIC STUDY?

> To determine the effects of changing various parameters on the performance of a Solid-Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) CFD model.

> To verify that the effects of these changes predicted by the CFD analyses were consistent with fuel-cell theory.

> To use the CFD results to develop an optimized singlecell SOFC.

THERMAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS



FUEL & AIR INLET TEMPERATURES



> Two Inlet temperatures analyzed: <u>1123 K & 750 K.</u>

➢ Higher fuel and air inlet temperatures resulted in higher average electrolyte temperatures.

➤ This reduced the Nernst voltage but increased the cell voltage produced when the current density was less than 1400 mA/cm².



FUEL & AIR HEATERS



SOFC with Air and Fuel Heaters

➢ If the fuel and air being supplied to an SOFC are to be heated by the cell's anode-exhaust and cathodeexhaust gas streams, <u>the</u> <u>temperatures of the fuel and air</u> <u>entering the fuel cell will be limited</u> <u>by</u>

<u>The exhaust-gas temperatures</u>

• <u>The heat transfer achievable in the</u> <u>fuel and air heat exchangers</u>.

FUEL & AIR HEATERS





➤ The heat transferred from the SOFC exhaust gas in the air and fuel heaters was <u>not</u> <u>sufficient to heat the fuel or the</u> <u>air to the desired 1123 K</u>.

≻ If the air and fuel temperatures at the inlet to the SOFC were reduced to the values at the air- and fuelheater outlets, the SOFC's exhaust-gas temperatures and the air and fuel heater-outlet would be temperatures reduced, which would reduce SOFC exhaust-gas the temperatures to even lower values.

➢ When air and fuel were both supplied to the SOFC at a temperature of <u>750 K</u>, the anode-exhaust gas <u>was hot</u> <u>enough to heat the incoming air</u> <u>and fuel to 750 K.</u>

FUEL FLOW RATE





➤ The <u>fuel utilization factor</u>, U, is the ratio of the fuel (hydrogen) mass flow rate required divided by the fuel mass flow rate supplied.

> U was varied from 30% to 90%

The increased mass flow rate of fuel associated with a reduced fuel utilization factor had a cooling effect on the cell and resulted in a reduced electrolyte temperature.

This reduction in temperature resulted in an <u>increase in the Nernst voltage</u>,

➤ A reduction in fuel utilization also increases the reactant concentration at the anode-electrolyte interface, which <u>reduces</u> <u>concentration losses and helps to increase</u> <u>cell voltage.</u>

> Due to an increase in the Ohmic losses and, to a lesser extent, in the activation losses with the reduction in temperature, the cell voltage did not rises as steeply as the Nernst voltage when U was reduced, and it eventually leveled off.

FUEL FLOW RATE



vs. Fuel Utilization

AIR FLOW RATE





The <u>air ratio</u>, R, is the <u>ratio of the air mass flow</u> rate supplied divided by the air mass flow rate required.

R was varied from <u>2 to 6</u>

> During operation with a fixed fuel utilization factor of 70%, the air-to-fuel ratio increased from approximately <u>48 when the air ratio was 2.0 to a</u> value of 143 when R was equal to 6.0.

The significantly greater mass flow on the cathode side absorbed more heat from the electrolyte.

> When the air flow rate was doubled or tripled, there was a <u>larger drop in the electrolyte</u> temperature than when the fuel flow rate was increased by the same ratio.

> The <u>reduction in temperature</u> with higher air ratios did result in an <u>increase in the Nernst</u> voltage.

Despite the increase in the oxygen concentration at the cathode-electrolyte interface, increasing the air flow resulted in an even greater increase in the cell's Ohmic losses (due to the drop in temperature) and <u>caused the cell voltage to drop</u>.

AIR FLOW RATE



FUEL & AIR FLOW RATE





➢ Due primarily to the reduction in temperature, <u>operation with an air ratio</u>, <u>R</u>, of 6.0 and a fuel-utilization factor, U, of 30% resulted in a higher Nernst voltage than operation with an air ratio of 2.0 and a fuel-utilization factor of 70%.

➤ The beneficial effects of the increased Nernst voltage and reactant concentrations with increaded air & fuel flow were all but nullified by the detrimental effects of the reduced cell temperature, and the cell voltage values for both sets of air and fuel flow rates were virtually identical up to a current density of approximately 1500 mA/cm².

> After this point, the increased air and fuel flow during operation with R = 6 and U = 30% did prevent the drop in cell voltage ordinarily associated with concentration losses, and the <u>operating</u> <u>range of the cell was significantly</u> <u>increased</u>.

FUEL & AIR FLOW RATE





> When the air ratio was increased and more air was supplied to the cell, the pressure drop within the cathode-flow channels increased.

> As the <u>current density was increased with</u> <u>a fixed air mass flow rate</u>, <u>this pressure</u> <u>drop increased</u> due to the higher operating temperatures and the resulting increased expansion of the air, which resulted in higher fluid velocities within the cathodeflow channels and increased friction losses.

➢ When the <u>fuel utilization was reduced</u> and more fuel was supplied to the cell, the <u>pressure drop within the anode-flow</u> <u>channels increased</u>.

➢ As the <u>current density was increased with</u> <u>a fixed fuel mass flow rate</u>, <u>this pressure</u> <u>drop increased</u> due to the higher operating temperatures and the resulting increased expansion of the fuel during operation at higher current densities, which resulted in higher fluid velocities within the anode-flow channels and increased friction losses.

OPERATING PRESSURE





Operating Pressure varied from <u>1 to 15 atm</u>.

≻The <u>Nernst voltage</u> produced by an SOFC <u>increases with the</u> <u>partial pressure of the reactants</u>.

This also results in an increase in the cell voltage.

OPERATING PRESSURE





➢ <u>As the cell voltage increased with a</u> <u>constant current</u>, less heat was generated in the cell (because more useful work was performed) and there was a <u>slightly lower</u> <u>electrolyte temperature</u>.

➢Compressing the incoming fuel and air also <u>adds heat to these gases</u>, which could enable them to be supplied to a fuel cell at an increased temperature. This would tend to <u>increase electrolyte</u> <u>temperatures</u>, <u>anode and cathode</u> <u>exhaust temperatures</u>, and possibly the <u>cell voltage</u>.

➢ When the air and fuel compressor electrical loads are considered, <u>the net</u> <u>power produced was reduced as the</u> <u>operating pressure increased.</u>

➢ Using a pressurized cell is recommended <u>only if there is another</u> <u>reason to compress the fuel and air</u>, such as to permit a gas turbine to be used as part of an SOFC-hybrid system.

FLOW ORIENTATION



Simulations were conducted with <u>counterflow, crossflow, and parallel-</u> <u>flow configurations</u>.

During operation with <u>lower current</u> <u>densities</u>, <u>cell-voltage values for all</u> <u>three configurations were virtually</u> <u>identical</u>.

> During operation with <u>higher current</u> <u>densities</u>, the <u>counterflow arrangement</u> <u>did produce slightly higher cell voltages</u>.

➢ With the exception of a slight divergence at the high-current-density end of the curve, the average electrolyte temperatures with the counterflow and parallel-flow configurations were almost identical and were generally slightly greater than the average electrolyte temperature with the crossflow arrangement.

A higher temperature results in more waste heat for use in a hybrid system.

FLOW ORIENTATION



Orientation (1400 mA/cm²)

➤ The differential temperature across the electrolyte with counterflow was significantly less than that of the parallel-flow cell and was close to the maximum differential temperature across the electrolyte in the crossflow cell.

Limiting the differential temperature across various parts of a fuel cell <u>reduces</u> <u>stresses resulting from uneven thermal</u> <u>expansion.</u>

➢ <u>The counterflow arrangement</u> was considered to be the <u>preferred choice</u> for the planar SOFC analyzed.

FLOW-CHANNEL DIMENSIONS



SOFC Flow-Channel Arrangement

> The effect of <u>flow-channel size</u> was determined by performing a series of analyses with various channel dimensions.

➤ To be able to differentiate between the effects of changes in the channel width and those resulting from changes in the channel height, this study was divided into two parts:

1. <u>Simulations performed with</u> <u>different channel and rib widths</u> but with a constant channel height.

2. <u>Simulations performed with</u> <u>different channel heights</u> but with constant channel and rib widths.

FLOW-CHANNEL AND RIB WIDTH



<u>Nine</u> different <u>channel-</u> and rib-width combinations were analyzed.

➤ The channel and rib width selected resulted in a whole number of complete channels across the width of the cell.

> The <u>height</u> of all of the channels was set equal to 1 mm.

FLOW-CHANNEL AND RIB WIDTH



Larger rib widths reduced the resistance to the electrical current conducted through the ribs.

increasing the rib width enabled the cell to develop a higher cell voltage.

➢ Wider ribs also reduced the area over which incoming oxygen was in contact with the upper surface of the cathode and made it more difficult for the oxygen to diffuse through the cathode.

Due to the improved oxygen diffusion, the <u>operating range</u> in terms of current density was <u>typically higher for the cells with</u> <u>thinner ribs</u>.

 \blacktriangleright <u>Rib width had little effect on the</u> <u>diffusion of hydrogen</u> through the anode. This was expected due to the relatively low diffusion resistance of hydrogen when compared to that of oxygen.

FLOW-CHANNEL AND RIB WIDTH



Effect of Channel / Rib Width on O_2 Diffusion Through Cathode (Current Density = 680 mA/cm²) Effect of Channel / Rib Width on H_2 Diffusion Through Anode (Current Density = 680 mA/cm²)

FLOW-CHANNEL HEIGHT



SOFC Cell Flow-Channel Height Detail (1.5 mm Channel & 0.5 mm Rib Widths)

Four different flow-channel heights were evaluated.

> The channel and rib widths were set equal to 1.5 mm and 0.5 mm, respectively.

FLOW-CHANNEL HEIGHT



➢ At any given current density, the <u>cell voltage</u> <u>developed increased</u> as the <u>channel height was reduced</u> due to the reduced electrical resistance in the cells with shorter ribs.

FLOW-CHANNEL HEIGHT





➤ A disadvantage of reduced flowchannel height is an <u>increased</u> <u>pressure drop within the flow</u> <u>channels.</u>

The magnitude of the pressure drop in the cathode-flow channels was significantly higher than that in the anode-flow channels.

➤ This was due, primarily, to the relatively <u>high air/fuel ratio of 48</u> in terms of mass that was maintained during the flow-channel study, which is typical of fuel-cell operation and resulted in a much higher air-flow rate when compared to the flow rate of the fuel.

OPTIMIZED SINGLE-CELL CONFIGURATION



Final SOFC Single-Cell Configuration

> A <u>flow-channel width of **1.333 mm**</u> with the corresponding <u>rib width of</u> **0.667 mm** was selected because it resulted in the production of reasonably high cell-voltage values and in an operating range with current densities as high as 1200 mA/cm².

> The <u>channel height</u> selected for the <u>anode-flow channels was 0.25</u> <u>mm</u>, which resulted in the highest cell voltage when compared to the other channel heights evaluated. The pressure drop in the anode-flow channels with this height did not exceed <u>15 Pa</u>.

➢ On the cathode side, the pressure drop with a 0.25 mm channel height would exceed 1800 Pa. To limit this pressure drop to less than 200 Pa, a height of 0.75 mm was selected for the cathode-flow channels.

THE POTENTIAL USE OF FUEL CELLS TO GENERATE SHIPBOARD ELECTRICAL POWER CONCLUSIONS OF SINGLE-CELL PARAMETRIC STUDY

Thermal Boundary Conditions

➢As predicted by theory, conditions resulting in <u>higher cell temperatures</u> typically <u>resulted in lower</u> <u>thermodynamically reversible or Nernst voltages</u>. These higher temperatures were achieved by changing the SOFC's boundary conditions to reduce or eliminate heat transfer to the surroundings and by preheating the fuel and air supplied to the cell.

➢ Because the higher temperatures also reduced Ohmic and, when operating with low to moderate current densities, activation losses, <u>the cell voltages</u> <u>being produced often increased.</u>

Air & Fuel Flow Rates

➢Increasing air and fuel concentrations also increased the Nernst voltage, together with the range of current densities over which the cell could operate.

➢ Due to the <u>cooling created by the increased flow</u> rates, the <u>improvement in the cell voltage produced</u> <u>was either reduced</u> (in the case of increased fuel flow) <u>or eliminated</u> (in the case of increased air flow).

Air & Fuel Inlet Pressures

>Increasing the pressure at which fuel and air were supplied to the SOFC resulted in <u>higher cell</u> voltages.

➤ This benefit was <u>eliminated</u> when <u>the air- and</u> <u>fuel-compressor electrical loads were considered</u>.

> It is beneficial to pressurize an SOFC <u>only when</u> the compressed gas will be used for another purpose.

Air & Fuel Flow Orientation

➤A <u>counterflow</u> arrangement resulted in <u>cell</u> voltages and electrolyte temperatures that were almost identical to or slightly greater than values with parallel-flow and crossflow configurations.

➤The electrolyte's differential temperature in the counterflow cell was significantly less than the value in the parallel-flow cell and was close to the maximum differential temperature across the electrolyte in the crossflow cell, which helps to limit thermal stress.

<u>CONCLUSIONS OF SINGLE-CELL PARAMETRIC STUDY</u> (continued)

Air & Fuel Flow-Channel Dimensions

➤The use of wider ribs separating adjacent flow channels reduced the resistance to the electrical current conducted through the ribs.

➢ Because it also reduced the area over which incoming oxygen was in contact with the electrode surfaces, <u>the use of wider ribs impeded</u> <u>the diffusion of oxygen through the cathode</u>.

A similar effect did not occur on the diffusion of hydrogen through the anode.

Reducing channel height reduced electrical resistance.

➢ It also increased the pressure drop within the channels. This effect was more pronounced in the cathode flow channels due the significantly larger air flow rate when compared to the fuel flow rate.

Overall Result

Based on all of the aforementioned CFD simulations, <u>an optimum cell configuration was</u> established.

➢ It is believed that the process described could be <u>repeated by fuel-cell designers to better</u> predict the effect of various changes on the performance of a cell before it is manufactured and tested.

SOFC MULTI-CELL ANALYSES

- > OBJECTIVE
- > SOFC MULTIPLE-CELL ANALYSIS
- > MULTI-CELL ADJUSTMENT FACTORS
- > CONCLUSIONS OF MULTI-CELL ANALYSIS

OBJECTIVE OF MULTI-CELL STUDY

➤ A typical single-cell fuel cell is capable of producing less than one volt of direct current.

➤ To produce the voltages required in most industrial applications, <u>many individual</u> <u>fuel cells must typically be stacked together</u> and connected electrically in series.

Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) can be helpful to <u>predict fuel-cell</u> <u>performance before a cell is actually built and tested.</u>

> To perform a CFD simulation using a <u>3-dimensional model of an entire fuel-cell</u> <u>stack</u> would require a considerable amount of <u>time and multi-processor computing</u> <u>capability</u> that may not be available to the designer.

➤ To <u>eliminate the need to model an entire multi-cell assembly</u>, a study was conducted to determine the <u>incremental effect on fuel-cell performance</u> of adding individual solid-oxide fuel cells (SOFC) to a multi-fuel-cell stack.

➤As part of this process, a series of simulations was conducted to establish a <u>CFD-nodal density</u> that would <u>produce reasonably accurate results but that could also be</u> used to create and analyze the relatively large models of the multi-cell stacks.

THE POTENTIAL USE OF FUEL CELLS TO GENERATE SHIPBOARD ELECTRICAL POWER SOFC MULTI-CELL ANALYSES



SOFC MULTI-CELL ANALYSES – CELL VOLTAGE

➢ Because of the size of the <u>6-cell stack</u> CFD model (1.1 million finite-volume cells, 1.42 million nodes), data was obtained for this stack only during operation with a current density of <u>1200 mA/cm²</u>

SOFC MULTI-CELL ANALYSES – CELL VOLTAGE

Average Voltage per Cell vs # Fuel Cells in Stack 1.33 mm Channel Width x 0.67 mm Rib Width x 0.75 mm / 0.25 mm Channel Height; Tin = 750K, 0 Heat Flux Boundary 1.2 1.1 -0 mA/cm2 1.0 0.9 400 mA/cm2 0.8 800 mA/cm2 0.7 0.6 1200 mA/cm2 0.5 0.4 2 3 5 0 6 1 # of Fuel Cells in Stack

Voltage per Cell (V)

➤ The <u>average voltage</u> produced by each cell in the stacks analyzed remained <u>relatively constant</u> or <u>increased very slightly</u> as the number of fuel cells in the stack increased.

SOFC MULTI-CELL ANALYSES – CELL VOLTAGE

SOFC Voltage vs Number of Fuel Cells in Stack									
# Fuel Cells in Stack	Total Vstack	V _{Avg} per# Fuel Cells in Stack	∆V _{Avg} per# Fuel Cells in Stack	∆ %	00.0 00.0 00.0 Cetta 00.0 Cotta 00.0 Cotta 0				
	v	v	v	%	0.00				
1	0.5024	0.5024	-	-					
2	1.0113	0.5057	0.0033	0.647%					
3	1.5199	0.5066	0.0010	0.190%	<u> </u> _				
4	2.0284	0.5071	0.0005	0.093%	(
5	2.5369	0.5074	0.0003	0.055%					
6	3.0454	0.5076	0.0002	0.037%					

➢ As the <u>number of fuel cells in a stack was</u> increased, the <u>change in the average voltage</u> produced by each individual cell when another cell was added to the stack <u>was reduced and</u> <u>approached zero.</u>

SOFC MULTI-CELL ANALYSES – EXHAUST TEMPERATURE

> The <u>cathode-exhaust temperatures</u> were <u>typically higher than the anode-</u> <u>exhaust temperatures</u>.

➤ The overall <u>cathode-exhaust</u> temperature increased very slightly as the <u>number of cells in the stack</u> was increased.

The overall <u>anode-exhaust</u> temperature dropped as more cells were added to the stack.

> This difference in behavior was due, in part, to the <u>significantly large</u> <u>air/fuel ratio</u> (approximately 48) and the resulting cooing effect that the incoming air entering the cathodeflow channels in one cell had on the outgoing exhaust gas leaving the anode-flow passages in the cell above it.

SOFC MULTI-CELL ANALYSES – EXHAUST TEMPERATURE

SOFC MULTI-CELL ANALYSES – EXHAUST TEMPERATURE

	Cathode-Exhaust Temperatures vs. # of Fuel Cells in SOFC Stack Current Density = 1200 mA/cm ²											
# Fuel Cells in SOFC	Cell #1 Tcathex	Cell #2 Tcathex	Cell #3 Tcathex	3Cell #4Cell #5Cell #6exTcathexTcathexTcathex	Overall Stack Tcathex	∆Tcathex	∆%					
Stack	к	ĸ	к	ĸ	к	к	к	ĸ	%			
1	1364.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1364.1	-	N/A			
2	1356.5	1375.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1365.8	1.7	0.13%			
3	1355.1	1363.2	1380.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	1366.4	0.6	0.04%			
4	1354.2	1360.6	1367.1	1384.5	N/A	N/A	1366.6	0.2	0.01%			
5	1353.5	1359.3	1363.9	1369.7	1386.9	N/A	1366.7	0.1	0.01%			
6	1352.9	1358.5	1362.3	1366.1	1371.6	1388.7	1366.7	0.0	0.00%			
	Ar	ode-Exha	ust Temp Curre	eratures ent Densit	vs. # of Fu y = 1200 n	el Cells ir nA/cm²	SOFC St	ack				

# Fuel Cells in SOFC	Cell #1 Tanex	Cell #2 Tanex	Cell #3 Tanex	Cell #4 Tanex	Cell #5 Tanex	Cell #6 Tanex	Overall Stack Tanex	∆Tanex	∆%
Stack	к	к	к	к	к	к	к	к	%
1	1291.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1291.1	-	-
2	1281.9	1262.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1272.2	-18.9	-1.46%
3	1279.9	1261.0	1253.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	1264.9	-7.4	-0.58%
4	1279.7	1260.9	1254.2	1249.1	N/A	N/A	1261.0	-3.9	-0.31%
5	1280.0	1261.3	1254.7	1250.5	1246.3	N/A	1258.5	-2.4	-0.19%
6	1280.4	1261.7	1255.3	1251.3	1248.1	1244.4	1256.9	-1.7	-0.13%

SOFC MULTI-CELL ANALYSES – EXHAUST TEMPERATURE

➢ As the <u>number of fuel cells</u> in a stack was increased, the incremental effect of each additional cell was reduced and the changes in the both <u>the overall cathode-exhaust</u> <u>temperature</u> and the overall anode-exhaust temperature <u>both approached zero</u>.

SOFC MULTI-CELL ANALYSES – 6-CELL STACK EXHAUST TEMPERATURE VARIATIONS

6-Cell SOFC Stack

> For the <u>6-cell stack</u>, the <u>highest cathode-</u> <u>exhaust gas temperature</u> was at the <u>outlet from</u> <u>cell # 6</u> at the top of the stack.

The lowest cathode-exhaust temperature was at the <u>outlet from cell #1</u> located at the bottom of the stack.

➤ This temperature difference was due to the <u>effect of the insulated cathode tap</u> that is adjacent to the top of the cathode-flow channels in cell # 6 and <u>reduced the heat transferred from</u> the gas in these channels.

➢ In cell #1, however, the <u>upper surfaces of the</u> <u>cathode-flow channels are adjacent to the lower</u> <u>surfaces of the anode-flow channels</u> in cell #2. Consequently, the <u>cathode-exhaust gas leaving</u> <u>cell #1 gave up heat to the relatively cold fuel</u> <u>entering cell #2</u>.

➤ The opposite effect occurred in the anode-flow channels, and the <u>hottest anode-exhaust gas</u> <u>was at the outlet from cell #1</u> while the <u>lowest</u> <u>anode-exhaust temperature was at the outlet</u> <u>from cell #6.</u>

SOFC MULTI-CELL ANALYSES – 6-CELL STACK EXHAUST TEMPERATURE VARIATIONS

Temperature Distribution @ Inlet & Outlet of 6-Cell SOFC Normal to Flow Direction

MULTI-CELL ADJUSTMENT FACTORS

Multi-cell CFD results were <u>extrapolated</u> to develop estimates of the changes in the average voltage produced per cell in a stack and in the overall cathode- and anode-exhaust temperatures when the number of fuel cells in a stack exceeded six. ➢ It was found that <u>after the</u> <u>number of fuel cells in a stack</u> <u>reached approximately 50</u>, the <u>changes in the average voltage per</u> <u>cell and in the overall cathode- and</u> <u>anode-exhaust temperatures</u> <u>converged to zero</u>.

➢ Based on this, the total differences in the average voltage per cell and in the overall cathodeand anode-exhaust temperatures between single fuel-cell values and the converged values for the 50cell stack were determined, and adjustment factors that could enable single-cell CFD results to be modified to reflect multi-cell performance were developed.

➤This process was repeated for various current-density values.

CONCLUSIONS OF MULTI-CELL STUDY

A process was followed in which five SOFC stacks, together with a single-cell SOFC, were analyzed using CFD.

A comparison of the results of these analyses enabled <u>adjustment factors to</u> <u>be developed</u> that can be used to develop an <u>estimate of the voltage produced</u> <u>by a multi-cell SOFC stack based on the results of a single-cell CFD analysis</u>.

Adjustment factors were also developed for the <u>cathode-exhaust and anode-exhaust temperatures</u>.

➤This process could <u>significantly reduce both the time and the computing</u> resources necessary to complete a preliminary SOFC design.

MARINE FUEL CELL USE

>MILITARY VESSELS

SMALL BOATS, WATER-TAXIES & FERRIES

COMMERCIAL MARINE VESSELS

Military-Vessel Fuel-Cell Applications

PROJECT	DATE	STATUS	FC TYPE	ĸw	FUEL	VESSEL	FC DEVELOPER (Model)	
	1980s	Test Unit	AFC			Class 205 Submarine U1		
Attack Submarines	1995 to 2013	1 st Sub launched March 2002	(9) PEMFC per Vessel	34 ea		(8) Class 212 A Submarines	Seimens AG (SINAVY ^{CIS} BZM 34)	
Independent Propulsion (AIP) by	2000 to 2009	1 st Sub launched April 2004	(2) PEMFC	120.00		(7) Class 214 Submarines	Seimens AG	
How aldtsw erke- Deutsche Werft GmbH (HDW) and	2004 to 2010	Ongoing	per Vessel	120 ea.	Hydrogen w / metal hydride storage	(2) Class 209 PN Submarines	(SINA VY ^{OIS} BZM 120)	
other S/Ys for German Navy and for Export	2002 to 2010	Ongoing	PEMFC			(3) Class 209 Submarine Modernizations		
	2006 to 2012	Ongoing	PEMFC			(2) Dolphin Class Submarines		
Canadian Submarine w / AIP	FC design started 1994	Test model 1999; no installations	PEMFC	250	Methanol	(4) Victoria Class SSKs	Ballard Pow er Systems	
Spanish Submarine w / AIP	Started July 2006	Ongoing	PEMFC	300	Reformed Ethanol	S-80 Submarine	UTC Pow er	
	Started late 1980s	Lab test 1991		130	Hydrogen	Pirahnya-Class Midget Submarines	SKBK Special Boiler Design Bureau (Kristall-20 AIP)	
Russian Submarines w / AIP	Design started around 1998	Intended for installation during vessel construction or modification			Hydrogen w/ intermetallid storage	Amur-Class Submarines	SKBK (Kristall-27E AIP)	
ltalian/Russian Submarine w / AIP	Joint Venture started around 2004	Mock-up model at EURONAVAL 2006				S1000 Submarine		
U.S. Coast Guard	1995 to 1998	Conceptual Design	(4) MCFC per Vessel	625 ea	Navy Distillate Fuel (F-76)	USCGC VINDICATOR	Energy Research Corporation	

FC DEVELOPER FC TYPE PROJECT DATE STATUS KW FUEL VESSEL (Model) European Naval Conceptual Navy Distillate Fuel Ship's Service Fuel Cell -500 2000 to 2005 PEMFC (F-76) Naval Frigates Design Frigate U.S. Navy Ship of Large Naval Combatant 1987 Various 100 Various the Future Study Ship Studies by Arctic Energy Ltd. U.S. Navy R&D 1989 Various 50 Various Small Submersibles NOAA Study (12) MCFC 1994 180 ea. Diesel Oil TAGOS Vessel PEMFC, Office of Naval Ship Impact Study MCFC, PAFC, Research Enabling 1993 Various Naval Destroyer & Corvette Technologies Project SOFC Energy Research (4) MCFC Conceptual 650 ea. Navy Distillate Fuel Corporation Design (F-76) 2500 PEMFC Ballard / McDermott Phase 1: Ballard Pow er 1997 to 1999 Apx. 2 PEMFC Hydrogen Systems Lab Demonstration Fuel Navy Distillate Fuel **McDermott** 20 U.S. Navy Ship (F-76) Technology, Inc. Processor Service Fuel Cell (SSFC) Program Demo Integrated Various Surface Vessels IFP for Navy Distillate Fuel **McDermott** Fuel Processor 500 PEMEC (F-76) (IFP) Unit Lab Technology, Inc. Phase 2: Tested 2004 2000 to 2008 1st Generation FC MCFC 625 Fuel Cell Energy, Inc. Demo Unit being Lab Tested Logistics Fuel (JP-5, JP-8, F-76, MGO) U.S. Navy Advanced 2nd Generation FC PEMEC & 2008 to 2010 **Fuel Cell Program** SOFC System Palmer-Kumar Conceptual 2006 SOFC 20.000 Methane Nuclear Aircraft Carrier **Combined Cycle** Design

Military-Vessel Fuel-Cell Applications (contd.)

PROJECT	DATE	STATUS	FC TYPE	ĸw	FUEL	FUEL VESSEL	
ICEU Passenger Boat	1999	Planned as EXPO 2000 Project	PEMFC	10	Hydrogen in metal hydride	MS Weltfrieden	
etaing GmbH Passenger Boat	built in 2000	First operated June 2000 in Bonn then moved to Leipzig	AFC	6.9	Hydrogen in metal hydride	gen in metal hydride Boat the <i>Hydra</i>	
	1998			0.1		Hydroxy 100	David Cale arman
EIVD, Switzerland		Small leisure boats built	PEMFC	0.3	Compressed Hydrogen	Hydroxy 300	Paul Scherrer
	2003			3		Hydroxy 3000	
Ansaldo Richerche	1998	Tested on Lago Maggiore, Italy	PEMFC	40	Gasified liquid hydrogen	90-Passenger Boat	Nuvera Fuel Cells
Duffy-Herreshoff Water Taxi	2002 to 2003	Tested in New port Beach, CA, 2003	(4) PEMFC	1.5 ea.	Millenium Cell Hydrogen on Demand [™] (sodium borohydride)	30-ft, 18-Passenger Water Taxi	Anuvu, Inc. (Pow er-X [™])
San Francisco WTA Commuter Ferry	Design Started 2002	Pending	(2) PEMFC	120 ea.	Hydrogen in metal hydride	24-m, 49-Passenger Treasure-Island Ferry	Anuva, Inc. or UTC Pow er
Pearl Harbor USS Arizona Memorial Shuttle	Initial Funds Obligated Sept. 2003			75	Compressed Hydrogen	149-Passenger Launch	
Sailing Yacht	2005	Trials during 2005	PEMFC	10	Hydrogen by electrolysis (pow ered by w ind turbine & propeller) stored in metal hydride	HaveBlue XV1 42-ft MKII Sailboat	Hydrogenics (HyPM)
Sailing Yacht	2005		DMFC	0.05	Methanol SY Mamelie		Max Pow er / Smart Fuel Cell (MFC AHD-100)
DCH Water Taxi	2001	Demo San Francisco, CA, Oct. 2001	PEMFC	1	Compressed Hydrogen	18-ft, 9-Passenger Water Taxi	DCH Technologies, Inc. / Enable [™] Fuel Cell Corp.

Small Boat, Water-Taxi, & Ferry Fuel-Cell Applications

Small Boat, Water-Taxi, & Ferry Fuel-Cell Applications (contd.)

PROJECT	DATE	STATUS	FC TYPE	ĸw	FUEL	VESSEL	FC DEVELOPER (Model)	
Prototype Yacht	2003 to 2005	Demo on Lake Constance, Germany, Oct. 2003	PEMFC	4.8	Hydrogen	12-m Yacht <i>No. 1</i>	MTU-Friedrichshafen ("Cool Cell") / Ballard Pow er Systems	
EC / German-Czech ZEW/SHIPS	Started Nov. 2006	In service on Alster lake, Hamburg, Aug. 2008	(2) PEMFC	48 ea.	Hydrogen	25.6-m, 100-Passenger Vessel FCS <i>Alsterwasser</i>	Proton Motor Fuel Cell GmbH (PM 600)	
H2Vacht GmbH	Started in	Prototype launched in Elbe River 2005	PEMFC	1.2	Compressed Hydrogen	5.8-m, 6-Person Motorboat	Ballard Pow er Systems	
	2004	Exhibited at H2Expo 2006	(2) PEMFC	1.4 ea.		6.75-m, 8-Person Motorboat	(Nexa® Pow er Module)	
Ecofys & Dutch Know ledge Ctr. For Yachbuilding	Launched Summer 2006	Introduced during Frisian Nuon Solar Challenge	PEMFC		Compressed Hydrogen in canisters	Sloop <i>Xperiance</i>		
Fuel Cell Boat BV H-ferry Project	2007-2008		PEMFC	60 to 70	Compressed Hydrogen	24-m, 100-Passenger Amsterdam River U Ferry		
Iceland SMART-H2 (APU)	Test planned June 2008		PEMFC	10 to 15	Hydrogen	150-Passenger Whale- Watching Ship <i>Elding</i>	Ballard Pow er Systems	
Beneteau Oceanis Clipper 411 (APU)	FC installed mid-2007	Tested during 2007 Atlantic Rally for Crusiers		1	LPG	41-ft sailing yacht <i>Emerald</i>	Voller Energy Group (Emerald)	
University of Birmingham [50]	Launched Sept. 2007	Protium Project	PEMFC	5	Hydrogen in metal hydride	12-ton Canal Boat Ross Barlow	EMPA Laboratories	
Shanghai Maritime University	Tested in 2005	Displayed at 6th Int'l. Industry Exhibition in Shanghai, 2005	PEMFC	2	Hydrogen	4.8-m, 2-Passenger Boat		
Brunnert-Grimm AG Runabout	Deliveries planned 2008	Displayed at INTERBOOT 2007			Compressed Hydrogen	Cobolt 233 ZET	zebotec GmbH	

COMMERCIAL MARINE FUEL-CELL APPLICATIONS

PROJECT	DATE	STATUS	FC TYPE	ĸw	FUEL	VESSEL	FC DEVELOPER (Model)
Arctic Energy Ltd.	1984	Study for U.S. Maritime Administration	PAFC	30 to 60	Methanol	Surface Ship Auxiliary Pow er / Training Ship	
U.S. Maritime Administration	1998	Study	MCFC	3000	Compressed Natural Gas	Container Ship	
			PEMFC	0000	Liquid Hydrogen	140-m RO/RO Fast	
EU / FC-SHIP	July 2002 to	Final Report	MCFC, SOFC	2000	Low sulfur diesel, LNG	Ferry (Auxiliary Power)	
Conceptual Design	July 2004	issued July 2004	PEMFC	400	Compressed Hydrogen Gas	30-m Habor Ferry (Propulsion)	
	2003 to 2005	Feasability Study	SOFC / MCFC				
	Phase 1: 2003 to 2006	Demonstrated at exhibitions 2006	PEMFC		Hydrogen	Viking Fellowship 1:84 Scale Model	
DNV / Eureka - Fellow SHIP	Phase 2: Ongoing	Ship testing started Dec. 2009	MCFC	320	LNG	Eidesvik Offshore OSV MV <i>Viking Lady</i> (Auxiliary Pow er)	MTU CFC Solutions GmbH (HotModule)
	Phase 3: Ongoing	Ship installation planned	(4) Hybrid w / steam turbine	1000 ea.		Eidesvik Offshore OSV (Propulsion)	
EU / METHA PU	2007 to 2010	Lab test started Oct. 2007, Ship installation May 2010	SOFC	20	Renew able Methanol	Wallenius Marine Car Carrier MV <i>Undine</i>	Wärtsilä Corp. (WFC20α)
		Design Study		250			Wärtsilä Corp.
EU / NEW H-SHIP	2004 to 2005	ldentify barriers to H_2 on ships			Hydrogen	lcelandic Fishing Vessels	
EU/MC-WAP	2005 to 2010	Study of MCFC on ships, APU test planned	MCFC	500	Diesel oil	RO/RO, RO-PAX, Cruise, Fast Ships	Ansaldo Fuel Cells
Wallenius Marine	Scale Model Planned 2005	Concept ship for 2025			Hydrogen from solar, w ind and w ave pow er	E/S <i>Orcelle</i> 250-m 10,000-car RO/RO	
Offshore Ship Designers Group "Green Tug"	Design Started 2008	1st Tug Planned for 2010	(2) PEMFC	100 kW ea.	Compressed Hydrogen	Hydrogen Hybrid Harbor Tug (HHHT)	NedStack fuel cell Technology BV (PS100)

ANALYSES OF SOFC HYBRID SYSTEM TO GENERATE SHIPBOARD ELECTRICAL POWER

Large Marine Propulsion Diesel Engine (Courtesy of Wärtsilä Corp.)

OVER 85,000 COMMERCIAL-MARINE VESSELS 99% POWERED BY DIESEL ENGINES

FUEL-CELL GT/ST-1P HYBRID SYSTEM

FUEL-CELL ST-1P HYBRID SYSTEM

FUEL-CELL ST-2P HYBRID SYSTEM

SOFC HYBRID SYSTEM ANALYSES CONDITIONS

➢Four Hybrid cycles evaluated: SOFC w/Single-Pressure Steam Turbine (ST-1P), SOFC w/Dual-Pressure Steam Turbine(ST-2P), SOFC w/Gas Turbine & Single-Pressure Steam Turbine (GT-1P), and SOFC w/Gas Turbine & Dual-Pressure Steam Turbine (GT-2P).

>Four Steam Pressures Considered: 45 bar, 30 bar, 15 bar, and 7.5 bar

>Two Condensing Pressures Considered: 0.05 bar and atmospheric pressure

 \succ Values of current were varied from 5 A to 30 A.

>Turbogenerator and auxiliary efficiencies determined based on SNAME guidelines

> The minimum pinch point used between the SOFC-exhaust gas and the steam or feedwater temperatures was set at 15 °K.

>The total net power produced by each system was set at 4000 kW (Based on a typical container ship requirement), and the output voltage from the system was set at 440 V.

SOFC HYBRID SYSTEM ANALYSES CONDITIONS (contd.)

➢For many of the analyses conducted, the fuel was assumed to be composed of 100% hydrogen. However, methane (CH4) and methanol (CH3OH) were also used in some of the analyses.

>Oxygen supplied to the cathode as a component in air at a temperature of 298 °K with 40% relative humidity.

>The air ratio used ranged from 1.5 to 4 and the fuel utilization factor was varied from 90% to 55%

>The SOFC was assumed to be operating at full load under steadystate conditions; partial-load conditions or transient loads were not considered.

>The maximum steam temperature at the superheater outlet was limited to 783 $^{\circ}$ K (950 $^{\circ}$ F).

>The efficiency of the inverter required to convert the direct current produced by the SOFC to alternating current was assumed to equal 95%.

>Overall cycle efficiencies were based on fuel lower-heating values (LHV).

Single-Steam **Pressure System Pinch-Point Diagram**

Dual-Steam Pressure System Pinch-Point Diagram

ALTERNATE-FUEL DIRECT-INTERNAL REFORMING (DIR)

ALTERNATE-FUEL PERFORMANCE

Reactions occurring during DIR of Methane:

Steam Reforming: $CH_4 + H_2O = 3H_2 + CO$ Water-Gas Shift: $CO + H_2O = H_2 + CO_2$

Reactions occurring during DIR of Methanol:

Steam Reforming:	$CH_{3}OH + H_{2}O = 3H_{2} + CO_{2}$
Methanol Decomposition:	$CH_3OH = 2H_2 + CO$
Water-Gas Shift:	$CO + H_2O = H_2 + CO_2$
Methanation:	$CO + 3H_2 = CH_4 + H_2O$

SOFC/HSRG HYBRID CYCLE ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

FUEL-CELL HYBRID SYSTEM ANALYSES RESULTS

FUEL-CELL HYBRID SYSTEM EFFICIENCY RESULTS

Comparison of Emmissions & Efficiency										
Cycle		Hybri	d SOFC-H	RSG Sys	tem	Diesel- Engine- Driven				
		GT-1P	ST-1P	ST-1P	ST-1P	Generator				
Net Overall Power	kW	4000	4000	4000	4000	4000				
Fuel		H ₂	H ₂	CH ₄	CH ₃ OH	MDO				
LHV @ 298 K	kJ/kg	1.2E+05	1.2E+05	5.0E+04	2.0E+04	4.3E+04				
Fuel Inlet Flow	kg/h	220	265	557	1458	780				
Single-Cell Vcell	v	0.701	0.624	0.554	0.523	-				
SOFC Net Power	kW	2571	2793	2629	2439	-				
Steam-Turbogenerator Net Power	kW	624	1207	1371	1561	-				
Gas-Turbine Generator Net Power	kW	805	0	0	0	-				
Net Overall Power	kW	4000	4000	4000	4000	4000				
Exhaust-Gas Flow	kg/h	10,170	12,282	13,170	13,839	31,000				
Nitric Oxide (NO)	g/kWh	2.670	0.645	0.922	1.591	13.9				
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	g/kWh	0.025	0.000	0.000	0.000	inc in NO				
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	g/kWh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.10				
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	g/kWh	0.0	0.0	241.1	500.7	690				
Sulfur Oxides (SOx)	g/kWh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.10				
Methane (CH ₄)	g/kWh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.09				
Hydroxide (OH)	g/kWh	0.254	0.031	0.000	0.138	-				
Particulate Matter (PM)	g/kWh	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.73				
Overall Efficiency	%	54.6	45.2	51.7	49.6	43				

OVERALL CONCLUSIONS

>SOFC-Hybrid Systems in table above have higher overall efficiencies and produce substantially less CO₂, NOx, SOx, and PM when compared to the diesel engine shown

➢ HIGHEST OVERALL EFFICIENCY ACHIEVED IN THIS STUDY WAS SLIGHTLY LESS THAN 55% BASED ON THE OPERATION OF THE SOFC W/GAS-TURBINE & SINGLE-PRESSURE STEAM TURBINE (GT-1P) HYBRID SYSTEM

► IN MOST CASES, USING A GAS TURBINE IMPROVED OVERALL EFFICIENCY BECAUSE:

-THE HEAT OF COMPRESSION IN AIR AND FUEL COMPRESSORS REDUCED THE LOAD ON THE FUEL AND AIR HEATERS AND RESULTED IN A HIGHER AFTERBURNER EXHAUST TEMPERATURE.

-THE HIGHER SOFC OPERATING PRESSURE RESULTED IN HIGHER CELL VOLTAGE AND MORE POWER PER UNIT FUEL.