



# Updates on the new release of

## IEC 61000-4-3:2006 Edition 3

Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) - Part 4-3 : Testing and measurement techniques -Radiated, radio-frequency, electromagnetic field immunity test

*Jason H. Smith*

Supervisor Applications Engineer

 **rf/microwave instrumentation**

160 School House Road  
Souderton, PA 18964-9990

[jsmith@ar-worldwide.com](mailto:jsmith@ar-worldwide.com)



**rf/microwave instrumentation**

Other  divisions: modular rf • receiver systems • ar europe

# New IEC 61000-4-3 Ed 3.0 is here!

Current document stages:

Stage	Meaning	Actual Date	Projected Date
CDIS	Final Draft for final vote	11-4-05	11-30-05
APUB	Approval of the Final Draft	1-13-06	2-28-06
BPUB	Print of the Final Standard	2-07-06	3-31-06
<b>PPUB</b>	<b>Issue of the Final Standard</b>	<b>2-07-06</b>	4-30-06

## What does this mean?

This IEC standard is accepted and its procedures need to be used when called out by product standards. There is no stated overlap time period between releases for **IEC** standards.

# EN 61000-4-3:2006

<b>Dor</b>	<b>Date of Ratification</b>	<b>2006-03-01</b>
<b>Dav</b>	Date of Availability	2006-05-19
<b>Doa</b>	Date of Announcement	2006-06-01
<b>Dop</b>	Date of Publication	2006-12-01
<b>Dow</b>	<b>Date of Withdraw</b>	<b>2009-03-01</b>

## Important dates:

**Date of Ratification** - is the earliest the standards can be used.

**Date of Withdraw** - is the date the standard must be used on all products that are on the market. There is no grandfathering products or test reports!

## Review:

- IEC 61000-4-3 *Test and measurement techniques – Radiated, radio-frequency, electromagnetic field immunity test*
  - This is a individual **test** standard
  - **Product** Standards will call out IEC 61000-4-3 and other test standards. Example: IEC 61000-6-1 Generic Immunity standard
  - **Product** Standards state **frequency range, levels**, as well as, any changes to the basic test standards.
  - **Product** Standards take precedence over **test** standard.

**Product standards require the latest test standard to be used**

# Why Care?

## **Manufacturers**

Need to know the standards and keep informed when changes occur in order to keep track of product testing and when or if retesting is required. Be aware of your test lab's capabilities.

## **Independent Test Labs and Manufacturers Self Testing**

Need to look ahead and think of the longevity of products tested so retesting is not needed in a few years.

## Changes:

**NEW!**

➤ New check for linearity of amplifier

**NEW!**

➤ New requirement for harmonic distortion for Test Setups

**NEW!**

➤ New frequency range extending up to 6 GHz

**NEW!**

➤ Above 1 GHz smaller uniform field “windows” can be used instead of the standard 1.5mx1.5m

➤ Calibration 1.8 x the needed field strength

**NEW!**

➤ New low permeable material requirement for Test Table

Test setup must have a harmonic distortion  
of at least -6 dB!!!



### Requirement

Harmonics of the field need to be 6dB below the fundamental

All Harmonics a system creates need to be considered  
- Signal Generator, Amplifier, and Antenna

Harmonics – Are a multiple of the fundamental frequency  
ex: At 1GHz there will be harmonics at 2GHz, 3GHz, 4GHz...  
2<sup>nd</sup> harmonic will usually be the one of concern

Test setup must have a harmonic distortion  
of at least -6 dB!!!



### **Why is the new harmonic requirement necessary?**

- When using a broadband receiving device for field calibration such as a field probe, it will not distinguish between different signals (fundamental or harmonic)
- High harmonics can contribute to the readings of the field probe and produce error in the reading.
- This error will cause testing at the intended fundamental frequency to be incorrect.
- If the harmonics are more than 6dB down from the fundamental in the chamber then there will be little error in the reading according to the standard.

Test setup must have a harmonic distortion  
of at least -6 dB!!!




### **Important considerations**

- To predict what the harmonics will be in the chamber two main pieces of the system are of concern:
  - Amplifier Harmonic content rating
    - This is a rating given by the amplifier manufacturer
    - This is what must be controlled for meeting this requirement
  - Antenna Gain
    - Usually will increase throughout its frequency range.
    - For this reason the harmonic will have a higher gain than the fundamental

Test setup must have a harmonic distortion  
of at least -6 dB!!!



The antenna can have a much higher gain at the harmonic:



Gain Vs. Frequency

Here is the gain of a high gain antenna.

The harmonic of 2GHz has a ~5dB better gain

If an amplifier had a poor harmonic content of -1dBc, the harmonics of 2GHz with this antenna would be 4dB above the fundamental.


# Test setup must have a harmonic distortion of at least -6 dB!!!

If -6dBc is required at the antenna output we can make some assumptions and work backwards to find an acceptable harmonic distortion for the RF amplifier.

	Required by spec	= 6dB
Max antenna gain between harmonic and fundamental	= 5dB	
Other effects from setup and room (& safety factor)	= 3dB	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>=14dB</b>

**The amplifier harmonic distortion requirement should be  
better than -14dBc**

# Check the amplifier manufactures' rating and available production data



25S1G4A

# How to check test setup for harmonics

**This could be checked by the following methods:** (not defined in specification)

1. Use a receive antenna with a spectrum analyzer and record the fundamental and harmonic signal strength. Calculate the difference.

2. Use a spectrum analyzer connected to the forward power port of the directional coupler. Record both the fundamental and harmonic, add the manufacturer's supplied antenna gain for each frequency and find the difference.

This could be done at all test frequencies or a selection. If only selecting a few frequencies, make sure to try to find worst case. Such as where you are close to the saturation level of the amplifier and/or where the transmitting antenna's gain has the biggest difference from the fundamental to harmonic. This would only need to be checked after room calibration.

# Side note on Field Probe use

## RF field probes

An Ideal probe has no loss and can be positioned at any angle to give an accurate result. Life is not ideal:

1.They are calibrated and come with calibration data similar to an antenna.

- This data needs to be applied for each frequency throughout the frequency range
- It is best to position the probe at its critical angle
  - Usually in the same position as it was during calibration
  - Each Axis is an independent antenna and has its own characteristics.

2.Not all field probes are the same. Always check the isotropic response and variation due to temperature.

- Some have a flatter response than others
- Changes in operating temperature can also change the response
- Don't use them beyond their specified limits. (power limits and frequency range) where results will be unknown.

# Uniform field calibration

Performed at 1.8 times the desired field strength.

For testing at 10V/m the calibration is run at 18V/m

The reason of running a test at 1.8x the level is to verify the RF amplifier has the ability to reach the required field when the 80% 1KHz Amplitude Modulation is applied.

(Note: 1.8 higher field requires 3.24 times more amplifier power)

An EMC Lab performing testing at multiple levels

1V/m, 3V/m, 10V/m, 30V/m, and/or others, they need only to perform the calibration at 1.8x the max level they will test to and then they can scale the power down.

# Linearity check




$E_c$  = Calibration field strength  
 $E_t$  = Test Field Strength  
 $P_c$  = Forward Power for Calibration  
 $P_t$  = Forward Power for Testing

At EACH frequency and calibrated level ( $P_c$ ).

Reduce the RF input from the signal generator by 5.1 dB

Calculate the difference between this new forward power and  $P_c$



# Linearity check



$E_c$  = Calibration field strength  
 $E_t$  = Test Field Strength  
 $P_c$  = Forward Power for Calibration  
 $P_t$  = Forward Power for Testing

The difference needs to be between 3.1 and 5.1 dB

If  $< 3.1$  compression is too large.

If  $> 5.1$  the amplifier is in expansion and is nonlinear.

This may occur with Traveling Wave Tube Amplifiers (TWT), but is minor and should not be of concern.

This is called the 2dB compression point by the standard.

**Update:** Interpretation sheet was released in which values  $>5.1\text{dB}$  are acceptable.




Figure 1 – Deviation as defined in step j-3 for a 200W TWT-amplifier. Target field strength is 30 V/m




Figure 2 – Deviation as defined in step j-3 for a semiconductor amplifier

From the calibrated test data the test power ( $P_t$ ) can be found.

For testing the intended field strength the forward test power is needed for each frequency:

$$P_t = P_c - R(\text{dB}) = P_c - 5.1\text{dB}$$

5.1dB comes from:

$$R(\text{dB}) = 20 \bullet \log\left(\frac{E_c}{E_t}\right)$$

$$R(\text{dB}) = 20 \bullet \log\left(\frac{18}{10}\right)$$

$$R(\text{dB}) = 5.1$$

$E_c$  = Calibration field strength  
 $E_t$  = Test Field Strength  
 $P_c$  = Forward Power for Calibration  
 $P_t$  = Forward Power for Testing

$E_c$  = Calibration field strength  
 $E_t$  = Test Field Strength  
 $P_c$  = Forward Power for Calibration  
 $P_t$  = Forward Power for Testing

# Reasons for Linearity check

## Reproducibility

- Running the test while the amplifier is in compression will distort the test signal



CW signal




CW in compression

## Harmonics

- The compressed wave starts to resemble a square wave producing higher harmonics

The next 2 graphs show AR's method of finding its 1dB and 3dB compression points as well as illustrates the new IEC's 2 dB compression into a 50 Ohm load.


Example of compressed power





Compression points at one frequency



Example of compressed power





The above graph shows the new 2dB compression point as it would be into a 50 Ohm load. During testing the load (antenna) is not an ideal 50 Ohms, the compression point will vary.


This is why, as per the spec, this must be checked.

The 1dB compression point of the amplifier is a good reference when calculating your amplifier needs.


The 1 dB compression graph should be found on the manufacturers' data sheets. Actual production data is better.



## Window size is variable >1GHz (Annex H normative)



Uniform field probe positions



- For each window the antenna can then be moved around for optimal positioning for the calibration of that window
- Each window will need to be calibrated separately.
- If 0.5m windows are used, 9 different calibrations will need to be run with 9 different antenna locations.
  - When only 4 probe positions are used, as in this case, all probe positions must be used (cannot remove 25%)
  - Then for large EUTs filling the total area.
    - The EUT will need to be tested 9 times on each side
    - Increased test time!
  - Smaller EUTs only need be tested to illuminate the area of the EUT (in example to left only windows 1, 2, 5, and 6)
  - 1 meter test distance


## Above 1 GHz smaller test area

Reasoning for allowing this method.

- The beam width of the antenna narrows as frequency increases making it more difficult to cover the entire area.
- As frequency increases amplifier power cost goes up.

Full Field uniformity can be achieved with a wide beam width antenna and/or by moving the antenna back.

This may require a much larger amplifier.



Example: The same antenna can be positioned at 1 meter and 3 meters


Distance	Number of windows	Amount of power	Advantage
1 meters	9	1x	Less upfront cost
3 meters	1	9x then @ 1 meter	Saves Time! More acceptable

## Using simple Geometry we can calculate window size or angle needed

$$W = 2D \tan \left[ \frac{\Theta}{2} \right]$$

$$D = \frac{W}{2 \tan \left( \frac{\Theta}{2} \right)}$$

$$\Theta = 2 \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{W}{2D} \right]$$



$W$  = Window width

$D$  = Antenna distance

$\Theta$  = 3dB beam width of the antenna at specified frequency

## Increased Frequency Range

The standard does not dictate that the same level needs to be applied over the whole frequency range.

- This is left to the product standards

80 to 1000 MHz will most likely be one level, same as before.



### **800 to 960 MHz and 1.4 to 6 GHz**

Was added for Radio Phones (Cell Phone) and other emitters. So depending on the device and/or location the product is sold in or used in, the frequency range/s and level/s may vary.

This will be determined by future **Product Standards**



# Increased Frequency Range

## Reasons for increase

Annex G of the standard lists approved frequency allocations used for the basis of the new 6 GHz frequency expansion.

With the explosion of wireless communication for voice and data transfer there is a definite need for product ruggedness to withstand today and tomorrow's threats.

Product standards will be updated in the future

But:

Higher frequency test needs to be incorporated to protect the products from these new threats now!



# Increased Frequency Range

## Reasons for testing beyond the requirements

It is more than meeting the specs and Law, it is about product quality and reliability

The standard is written to cover common Electromagnetic influences that are present at release.

With other influences out there, it is important to catch potential issues up front prior to product release.


It could cost \$millions\$ if failures occur at the consumer level.

Example:

Emergency communication head sets

cable TV boxes, ANSI specification is being created to test to 100V/m

Customer satisfaction is very important for product longevity and company growth



# Increased Frequency Range


Further Frequency examples

WiMAX IEEE 802.16:2004; 2 to 66 GHz presently using up to 5.825 GHz


WiMAX IEEE 802.16e; 2 to 11 GHz presently using up to 3.8 GHz

Proposed UWB 3.1 to 10.6 GHz

Radar and Satellite communications



# How does this all affect your equipment!




## 2dB Linearity Requirement (Amplifiers can no longer be used in compression)

- May affect Labs who have utilized power amplifiers and pushed them into saturation without knowing.
  - First try to reduce power losses
    - Use high quality low loss cable
    - Use good connectors and make sure they are clean
    - Shorten cables as much as possible. May require amplifiers to be moved closer.
    - Use a higher gain antenna. Keep in mind this may reduce your uniform field coverage area.
    - Move in the antenna, no closer than 1 meter
- May need to get a higher powered amplifier to solve this new requirement.


# How does this affect equipment!

## 6dB Harmonics requirement

- TWT amplifiers which can be used for above 1 GHz will need to have filters to reduce the harmonic content
  - Filters will have losses and reduce the output of the TWTA.
  - TWTs are not as linear throughout the range as the solid-state amplifiers are.
- Solid state amplifiers should not need filters.



25S1G4A




20T4G18A

# How does this all affect your equipment!

## Higher frequency requirements up to 6 GHz.

- May require new Amplifiers and Antennas
  - Use manufacturers data to help with linearity (1dB compression) and harmonic content
  - If harmonics are an issue (as in TWTA) check to see if filters are available



## How does this all affect your equipment!

**New test table** will be needed! With low permeable material.  
Ridged Polystyrene is a good choice  
Or some plastics will also work

Above 1GHz some non-conductive materials will start to reflect.  
Wood which will absorb moisture should no longer be used.



# Conclusions on IEC61000-4-3 Ed3

## Changes to the IEC 61000-4-3 standard

1. 6 GHz upper test frequency limit
2. Max 2dB compression linearity check
3. 6dB harmonic distortion requirement for the field
4. Smaller window size allowed above 1GHz
5. New test table material requirement

# Other activities in WG10 of SC77B

## IEC 61000-4-3

- Annex be worked on for MU Measurement Uncertainty (informative)
  - Original was rejected since it contained all MU information
  - It was decided that the annex would only have information related to 4-3
  - A separate MU standard would be created containing the general information
- Annex approved and added detailing a procedure for calibration of field probes (Informative)
  - This annex is intended to help IEEE1309 group to be revised

## IEC 61000-4-6

- Working on minor update to standard
  - Minor means no large revisions of the procedure
  - Adding an amplifier linearity check
- MU Annex already added (informative)
- Annex A on clamp injection is being rewritten since information is vastly outdated.

# School House Rock

## How does a standard become a standard

- IEC creates IEC documents CENELEC Votes to make it an EN document
  - TC77 Technical Committee 77 –EMC
    - SC77A Low frequency phenomena (IEC 61000-3 series)
    - SC77B High frequency phenomena (most IEC 61000-4 standards)
      - Participating member
      - On WG10 (-4-3, -4-6 and related documents)
    - SC77C High power/energy phenomena (HEMP many kV/m,...)
      - Participating member
  - Standards are on a schedule to be revised every 5 years
    - This can be extended or shortened
  - New standards
    - IEC counsel must determine if work is needed and a WG may be created if desired or needed

# School House Rock

## How does a standard become a standard

- A Standard's Life
  - WG meets and revises standard
    - Couple of meeting usually are needed
    - pulling people from all over the world
  - Release of a CD Committee Draft
    - Each participating country may submit comments
    - Comments are reviewed by the WG
    - Updates corrections made (1-2 meetings required)
  - Release of second CD or CDV committee Draft for Vote
    - This is a vote to proceed to publication
    - Comments maybe submitted by each country
    - Updates corrections are made
  - Release of FDIS Final Draft International Standard
    - Final vote Yes/No/Abstain
    - Comments can only be editorial
  - Votes each member county gets 1 vote no mater how much industry they have or don't have

## Down fall of System

- Difficult to find experts in the industry to participate
- Lots of time and cost are involved
- In the US, ANSI charges for membership to participate
  - USNC/IEC TAG
    - [US National Committee for IEC, Technical Advisory Group]
- Each member country gets 1 vote no matter how much industry they have or don't have

### Example:

- ISO committee for automotive
  - EU gets >10 votes
  - 2 of the largest car industries
    - USA 1 vote
    - Japan 1 vote
  - To offset this US and Japan have their own standards which allow for easy migration into international standards.



# Any questions?

Thank you for your attention!!!

*Jason H. Smith*

Supervisor Applications Engineer

**ar** rf/microwave instrumentation

160 School House Road  
Souderton, PA 18964-9990

[jsmith@ar-worldwide.com](mailto:jsmith@ar-worldwide.com)

J. Smith



**rf/microwave instrumentation**

Other **ar** divisions: modular rf • receiver systems • ar europe